

ECON 8105 Problem Set IV

Kemal Badur

March 1998

1) Consider a two period economy, $t = 0, 1$. At time $t = 0$, there is no uncertainty, but at time $t = 1$, there are two possible states R and NR . There are also two assets, S and B , whose price at time $t = 0$ has been normalized to 1. (i.e. $q_S(0) = 1, q_B(0) = 1$). Their payoffs at time 1 are the following: $D^S(NR) = 5$ and $D^S(R) = 2$ for asset S and $D^B(NR) = D^B(R) = 3$ for asset B . All these quantities are units of the only good that there is at each period and each state of nature.

a. Compute the set of state prices for which the fundamental theorem of finance will hold.

The fundamental theorem of finance requires

$$q_t = \frac{q_{t+1} + p_{t+1}D_{t+1}}{1 + r_t}$$

or, the current price of an asset is equal to the discounted value of future incomes (p_{t+1} is the price of the numeraire good at time $t + 1$ and D_{t+1} is the dividend payment at $t + 1$).

As the time horizon ends at $t = 1$, and the asset will have no returns afterwards, $q_{t+1} = 0$.

Then, for $t = 0$,

$$q_0^A = \frac{(p^R, p^{NR}) \cdot (D^A(R), D^A(NR))}{1 + r_t}$$

for $A = S, B$. Plugging in the values given,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{(p^R, p^{NR}) \cdot (2, 5)}{1 + r_t} \\ 1 &= \frac{(p^R, p^{NR}) \cdot (3, 3)}{1 + r_t} \end{aligned}$$

Setting these two equations equal after multiplying with $1 + r_t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2p^R + 5p^{NR} &= 3p^R + 3p^{NR} \\ p^R &= 2p^{NR} \end{aligned}$$

as the set of state prices that satisfy the fundamental theorem of finance. If we write the dividends to the assets in terms of p^{NR}

$$\begin{array}{cc} & R & NR \\ S & 4p^{NR} & 5p^{NR} \\ B & 6p^{NR} & 3p^{NR} \end{array}$$

shows that no asset dominates the other in both states.

b. *Compute the price of a contingent claim that pays 1 in state R and 0 in state NR and the price of a contingent claim that pays 0 in state R and 1 in state NR.*

Denote by q^R and q^{NR} the prices of the claims that pay $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, respectively at time $t = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_S &= 2q^R + 5q^{NR} = 1 \\ q_B &= 3q^R + 3q^{NR} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then, again setting those two equal, we get $q^R = 2q^{NR}$, and consequently, $q^R = \frac{1}{9}$ and $q^{NR} = \frac{2}{9}$.

c. *More generally, compute the price of an asset that pays M units in state R and N units in state NR.*

From the fundamental theorem of finance and the results obtained above, we can write

$$q = \frac{2}{9}M + \frac{1}{9}N$$

d. *What would happen in this economy if we introduce an asset that costs 1.5 units and pays 6 units in state R and 7 units in state NR ?*

Given the state prices (we can now explicitly calculate them, $p^R = \frac{6}{38}, p^{NR} = \frac{3}{38}$) this asset is "too cheap" when compared to the initial two assets.

2) Suppose that in the above economy, the consumer is endowed with $w(0)$, and $w(R)$ and $w(NR)$ units of the good (at each respective period and state). At time $t = 0$, the consumer is also endowed with a portfolio of assets $(B(0), S(0))$.

a. *Write down the sequential budget constraint, assuming that the consumer must pay all debts by the end of the horizon.*

Noting that the prices of B and S are normalized to 1 at $t = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} t = 0 &\rightarrow p_0 c(0) + B(1) + S(1) \leq p_0 w(0) + B(0) + S(0) \\ t = 1 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} c^R(1) \leq 3B(1) + 2S(1) + w(R) & \text{if } R \\ c^{NR}(1) \leq 3B(1) + 5S(1) + w(NR) & \text{if } NR \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

b. *Is there any overall budget constraint which is equivalent to that in part (a)?*

Multiply the budget constraints for $t = 1$ with q^R and q^{NR} respectively and add the three of them

$$\begin{aligned} & p_0 c(0) + B(1) + S(1) + q^R c^R(1) + q^{NR} c^{NR}(1) \\ & \leq B(0) + S(0) + q^R 3B(1) + q^R 2S(1) + q^{NR} 3B(1) + q^{NR} 5S(1) + \end{aligned}$$

ECON 8105 Problem Set IV

Given $q^R = \frac{2}{9}$ and $q^{NR} = \frac{1}{9}$, we know $B(1) + S(1) = 3q^R B(1) + 2q^R S(1) + 3q^{NR} B(1) + 5q^{NR} S(1)$ and hence can cancel those from the inequality to get

$$p_0 c(0) + q^R c^R(1) + q^{NR} c^{NR}(1) \leq B(0) + S(0) + p_0 w(0) + q^R w(R) + q^{NR} w(NR)$$