

### Lecture 3\*

#### Policy without commitment<sup>1</sup>

Static Model: Suppose household makes decision on its consumption, saving and labor supply each period in two phases: In each period household endowed with  $w$  unit of consumption good which it can consume,  $c_1$ , or save,  $k$ . Return of saving is  $R$  (given) and household claims it in the afternoon. There is a government that has expenditure  $g$  and finances that expenditure using tax on household saving,  $\delta$ , and labor income,  $\tau$ . Household decides about its labor supply,  $l$ , and second portion of its consumption,  $c_2$ , in the afternoon. So, household's problem is<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \max U(c_1 + c_2, l) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ c_1 + k &\leq w \\ c_2 &\leq R(1 - \delta)k + (1 - \tau)l \\ c_1 &\geq 0 \\ c_2 &\geq 0 \\ k &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Government budget constraint is

$$g = \delta Rk + \tau l$$

Competitive equilibrium is allocations  $\{c_1, c_2, k, l\}$  and government policy  $\{\tau, \delta, g\}$  such that: 1) given government policy, allocations solve household problem. 2) Government budget constraint holds.

#### Commitment

Consider the case when government has commitment. The timing is as the following:

1. Government chooses policy,  $\pi = (\tau, \delta)$
2. Household chooses  $(c_1, k)$
3. Household chooses  $(c_2, l)$

---

\*These notes are prepared by Laurence Ales, Roozbeh Hosseini, Priscilla Maziero and Miguel Ricaurte. They are preliminary and possibly contain errors. Comments and feedbacks are welcome.

<sup>1</sup>See Chari and Kehoe's "Sustainable Plan", *JPE*, 1990.

<sup>2</sup>Wage is normalized to one

Household's reaction to government policy in a competitive environment is as the following

$$\begin{aligned} R(1 - \delta) > 1 &\Rightarrow k = w, c_1 = 0 \\ R(1 - \delta) < 1 &\Rightarrow k = 0, c_1 = w \\ R(1 - \delta) = 1 &\Rightarrow \text{indifferent} \end{aligned}$$

And in interior solution,  $1 - \tau = -\frac{U_l}{U_c}$ .

Define  $\delta^*$  such that,  $(1 - \delta^*)R = 1$ . Now consider the following two cases

1.  $g \leq \delta^*Rw$ , the government can finance its expenditure entirely by levying (lump-sum) tax on  $k$ . The optimal allocations and taxes are

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 0 & k &= w \\ U_l &= U_c & \tau &= 0, \delta = \frac{g}{Rw} \end{aligned}$$

and  $c_2 = R(1 - \delta)w + l$

2.  $g \geq \delta^*Rw$ , This is the interesting case since government has to raise revenue through distortionary taxation. It can be shown<sup>3</sup> that in this case the *unique Ramsey outcome* has  $\delta = \delta^*$ ,  $c_1 = 0$ ,  $k = w$ , and  $\tau$ ,  $l$  and  $c_2$  are solution to the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\max U(c_2, l) \\ &s.t. \\ &c_2 \leq w + (1 - \tau)l \\ &-\frac{U_l}{U_c} = (1 - \tau) \\ &g \leq \delta^*w + \tau l \end{aligned}$$

### No commitment

Consider now the following timing

1. Household chooses  $(c_1, k)$
2. Government chooses policy,  $\pi = (\tau, \delta)$
3. Household chooses  $(c_2, l)$

**Claim:** The unique equilibrium outcome has  $c_1 = w$ ,  $k = 0$ ,  $\delta > \delta^*$  and  $\tau$ ,  $c_2$  and  $l$  are chosen to solve

$$\begin{aligned} &\max U(c_2 + w, l) \\ &s.t. \\ &c_2 \leq (1 - \tau)l \\ &-\frac{U_l}{U_c} = (1 - \tau) \\ &g \leq \tau l \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Proposition 1 in Chari-Kehoe, *JPE*,1990

**Deep sources of time inconsistency problem** [To be added later]  
**Repeated economy and Sustainable Plans**

Now suppose the economy repeats every period. Household' problems is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_{1t} + c_{2t}, l_t) \\ & s.t. \\ & c_{1t} + k_t \leq w \\ & c_{2t} \leq R(1 - \delta_t)k_t + (1 - \tau_t)l_t \\ & c_{1t} \geq 0 \\ & c_{2t} \geq 0 \\ & k_t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

And government budget is the same. Competitive equilibrium is defined as usual.

**Proposition:** Equilibrium under commitment has the same outcome as infinitely repeated sequence of static environment with commitment.(proof is easy)

**No commitment**

Define history of government policy as  $h_{1t} = \{\pi_s | s = 0, \dots, t - 1\}$ , where  $\pi_t = (\delta_t, \tau_t)$ . And  $h_{2t} = \{h_{1t}, \pi_t\}$ . Also, define household's allocation rule  $f_t = \{f_{1t}(h_{1t}), f_{2t}(h_{2t})\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  as  $f_{1t}(h_{1t}) = (c_{1t}(h_{1t}), k_t(h_{1t}))$  and  $f_{2t}(h_{2t}) = (c_{2t}(h_{2t}), l_t(h_{2t}))$ . Let  $\sigma_t(h_{1t})$  be government's policy rule. After any history  $h_{1t}$ , a policy rule  $\sigma$  induces future histories according to

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2t} &= (h_{1t}, \sigma_t(h_{1t})) \\ h_{1t+1} &= h_{2t} = (h_{1t}, \sigma_t(h_{1t})) \\ h_{2t+1} &= (h_{1t}, \sigma_t(h_{1t}), \sigma_{t+1}(h_{1t}, \sigma_t(h_{1t}))) \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

**Sustainable plan**

And allocation and policy rule  $(f, \sigma)$  is a sustainable plan if

1. i Given a policy rule  $\sigma$  continuation of allocation rule  $f$  is optimal after any history  $h_{1t}$ , i.e.  $\{f_{1s}(h_{1s}), f_{2s}(h_{2s})\}_{s=t}^{\infty}$  solves

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} U(c_{1s} + c_{2s}, l_s) \\ & s.t. \\ & c_{1s} + k_s \leq w \\ & c_{2s} \leq R(1 - \delta_s(h_{1s}))k_s + (1 - \tau_t(h_{1s}))l_s \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \geq t$

- ii Given a policy rule  $\sigma$  continuation of allocation rule  $f$  is optimal after any history  $h_{2t}$ , i.e.  $f_{2t}(h_{2t})$  together with  $\{f_{1s}(h_{1s}), f_{2s}(h_{2s})\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}$  solves

$$\begin{aligned} & \max U(c_{1t}(h_{1t}) + c_{2t}, l_t) + \beta \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} U(c_{1s} + c_{2s}, l_s) \\ & s.t. \\ & c_{2t} \leq R(1 - \delta_t)k_t(h_{1t}) + (1 - \tau_t)l_t \\ & c_{1s} + k_s \leq w \\ & c_{2s} \leq R(1 - \delta_s(h_{1s}))k_s + (1 - \tau_s(h_{1s}))l_s \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \geq t + 1$

2. Given allocation rule  $f$ , continuation of  $\sigma$  solves the following for any history  $h_{1t}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} U(c_{1s} + c_{2s}, l_s) \\ & s.t. \\ & g \leq \delta_s R k_s(h_{1s}) + \tau_s l_s(h_{2s}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{2s}$  is induced by  $\sigma$ .

Define a static utility  $U^s$  as the following

$$\begin{aligned} U^s &= \max_{\tau, l} U(w + (1 - \tau)l, l) \\ & s.t. \\ & -\frac{U_l}{U_c} = (1 - \tau) \\ & g \leq \tau l \end{aligned}$$

And let  $\sigma^s$  be a policy plan that sets  $\delta_t = 1$  and  $\tau_t$  according to the solution to static problem above, for all  $t$ . For each level of saving in the first stage,  $k$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} U^d(k) &= \max_{\tau, l, \delta, c_2} U(w - k + c_2, l) \\ & s.t. \\ & c_2 \leq (1 - \tau)l \\ & -\frac{U_l}{U_c} = (1 - \tau) \\ & g \leq \tau l + \delta R k \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $U^d(0) = U^s$ .

### Characterization theorem

An arbitrary sequences policy  $\pi$  and allocation  $x$  is a sustainable outcome if and only if (i)  $(\pi, x)$  is a competitive equilibrium for all  $t$ . (ii) the following inequality holds for all  $t$

$$\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} U(c_{1s} + c_{2s}, l_s) \geq U^d(k_t) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} U^s$$