

## Theories about why efficient for large plants to hire skilled labor

- Management Diseconomies (e.g. Walter Oi).

- Explanation for assortative matching

- Assume

$$Q = \theta E$$

where  $\theta$  is management ability and  $E$  is labor in efficiency units.

- Suppose  $E = qL$  where  $L$  is number of worker and  $q$  is quality.

- Suppose  $L \leq \bar{L}$  (span of control)

- Then social planner allocates highest quality workers to highest  $\theta$  managers.

## Economies of Scale for replacing unskilled with capital. (Holmes and Mitchell)

- Unit measure of tasks  $z$
- Level of task  $z$  denoted  $x(z)$
- Gross output is Leontief

$$q = \min \{x(z)\}$$

- One unit of any factor delivers one unit of task:
- Tasks above  $z_{skill}$  must be done by skilled labor.
- Tasks below  $z_{skill}$  can be done by unskilled labor or capital (or skilled labor)
- To get capital to be able to perform a task, need to spend  $\phi(z) > 0$  in fixed cost, where  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi'(z) > 0$ .
- Optimal Allocation: A Cutoff Rule
- In any equilibrium

$$w_K < w_U \leq w_S$$

- Look at cost minimization problem for fixed  $q$ . Which factor does job  $z < z_{skill}$ ? Between capital or unskilled labor pick

$$\min \{w_K q + \phi(z), w_U q\}$$

So cutoff rule  $\hat{z}$ , hire capital for  $z < \hat{z}$  where  $\hat{z}$  solves

$$q = \frac{\phi(z)}{(w_U - w_K)}$$

- So  $\phi(z)$  increasing implies  $\hat{z}$  increases with  $q$ .

- Capital Labor Ratio

$$\text{Capital Labor Ratio} = \frac{\hat{z}q}{(1 - \hat{z})q} = \frac{\tilde{z}}{1 - \hat{z}}$$

increases with  $q$  (but what about with *employment*  $= (1 - \hat{z})q$ )

- Skill share:

$$\frac{z_{skill}q}{(z_{skill} - \hat{z})q}$$

- Paper deals with another issue:  $z_{skill}$  could be endogenous....

## Models of Grabbing

- Firms vary in productivity parameter  $\theta$  density  $h(\theta)$  on  $[\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$ .

$$Q = \theta f(K, L)^\gamma$$

where

$$f(K, L) = (\alpha K^\rho + (1 - \alpha) L^\rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{1 - \rho} < 1$$

- Setup cost  $\phi$
- Competitive wage  $w_c$ .

- Workers showing up to a particular firm of form a union at cost
- Directed search, given  $\theta$  type firm  $m(\theta)$  show up.
- Union makes take-it-or-leave it offer to supply up to  $m(\theta)$  at a given wage that they pick  $w(\theta)$ .
  - Now assume that  $w(\theta) + \xi \leq w_c$
  - In equilibrium  $m(\theta)w_c = n(\theta)w(\theta)$ , where  $m(\theta)$  is the amount that show up,  $n(\theta)$  is the amount that is used.



## Firm Problem

given  $w$

$$\max_{K,L} \theta pf(K, L)^\gamma - wL - rK$$

subject to

$$\tilde{\pi}(w, \theta) = \theta pf(\tilde{K}(w), \tilde{L}(w))^\gamma - w\tilde{L}(w) - r\tilde{K}(w) - \phi \geq 0$$

Where  $\tilde{L}(w, \theta)$  solves the unconstrained problem. So labor demand is

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(w, \theta) &= \tilde{L}(w, \theta), \text{ if } \tilde{\pi}(w, \theta) = 0 \\ &= 0 \text{ if } \tilde{\pi}(w, \theta) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Union Problem

- Given  $\theta$ , and  $m(\theta)$ , solve

$$\begin{aligned} & \max L^*(w, \theta)w, \\ \text{subject to } & L^*(w, \theta) \leq m(\theta) \\ & w \leq w_c + \xi \end{aligned}$$

- Since labor demand inelastic, go to corner where either

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_c + \xi \\ \pi^*(w, \theta) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $w^{**}(\theta)$  be solution to the union problem. Strictly increasing in  $\theta$  until hits  $w_c + \xi$ .

- Let  $n^{**}(\theta) = L^*(w^{**}(\theta), \theta)$ .
- Equilibrium with directed search implies

$$\frac{m^{**}(\theta)}{n^{**}(\theta)} w^{**}(\theta) = w_c$$

## Main Point

- Show  $q^{**}(\theta)$  increasing in  $\theta$
- Since  $w^{**}(\theta)$  increasing in  $\theta$  get firm size wage premium
- Also obviously get capital intensity increases (but with no grabbing, capital intensity is independent of firm size)

## Extensions

- Instead of just substituting away through capital, pick different kinds of goods
- Intermediates  $y_1, y_2$  and  $q = \min\{y_1, y_2\}$
- Production technology,  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$

$$y_i = f_i(K, L) = (\alpha_i K^\rho + (1 - \alpha_i) L^\rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

$$q_1 = g_1(y_1, y_2, \theta) = \theta y_1 (y_1 + y_2)^{-(1-\gamma)}$$

$$q_2 = g_2(y_1, y_2, \theta) = \theta y_2 (y_1 + y_2)^{-(1-\gamma)}$$

- Specialist: just do task 1 or task 2
- Intermediation. Make  $y = y_1 = y_2$  of both goods.
- Intermediation cost of  $\tau$  per unit transfer

- With  $\xi = 0$ , when  $\tau > 0$  all firms are vertically integrated
- $\xi > 0$   $\tau > 0$ ?
  - (if  $\tau = \infty$ ), just what we already did. Escape labor by K
  - $\tau$  now escape by changing what you do.
  -

## Recent Vertical Disintegration Events

- NWA using contractors for cleaning rather than own employees
  - Holdup problem? Yes: when get in the door will hold things up
- Ford and GM spinning off parts divisions
  - Clear the things I am talking about above are first order
- Professional Employee Organizations?