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Here we take up the case of three factors and three commodities, and assume that world prices are such that all three commodities are produced in strictly positive amounts. Then the domestic-product function $\Pi$ is twice differentiable and yields single-valued Rybczynski functions for the three commodities, the third of which is assumed to be nontradable. The function $\tilde{p}_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, D, l\right)$ relating the price of the nontradable to the prices of the two tradables, the trade deficit, and the factor-endowment vector, is defined implicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), \Pi\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), l\right)+D\right)-\hat{y}_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), l\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trade-demand functions for the tradables are then defined for $i=1,2$ by $(2) \hat{h}_{i}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, D ; l\right)=h_{i}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), \Pi\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), l^{1}\right)+D\right)-\hat{y}_{i}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \tilde{p}_{3}(\cdot), l\right)$.

From (2) we have for $i, j=1,2$ (and using $\partial \Pi / \partial p_{j}=y_{j}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial p_{j}} & =\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial p_{j}}+\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial p_{3}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial p_{j}}+\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial Y}\left[y_{j}+y_{3} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial p_{j}}\right]-\frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial p_{j}}-\frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial p_{3}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial p_{j}}  \tag{3}\\
& =s_{i j}-t_{i j}-c_{i} z_{j}+\left(s_{i 3}-t_{i 3} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial p_{j}}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{i j}=\partial \hat{y}_{i} / \partial p_{j}$, and (using $\left.\partial \Pi / \partial p_{3}=y_{3}=x_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial D} & =\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial p_{3}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial D}+\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial Y}\left[x_{3} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial D}+1\right]-\frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial p_{3}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial D}  \tag{4}\\
& =\left(s_{i 3}-t_{i 3} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial D}+c_{i}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
s_{i j}=\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial p_{j}}+\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial Y} h_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{i}=\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial Y}
$$

and where we have used the fact that $x_{i}-y_{i}=z_{i}$ and $z_{3}=0$.
Differentiating the identity (1) with respect to $p_{j}$ and $D$ we obtain the partial derivatives of $\tilde{p}_{3}$ with respect to $p_{j}$ and $D$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial p_{j}}=-\frac{s_{3 j}-t_{3 j}-c_{3} z_{j}}{s_{33}-t_{33}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{3}}{\partial D}=-\frac{c_{3}}{s_{33}-t_{33}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5) into (3) and (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial p_{j}}=s_{i j}-t_{i j}-c_{i} z_{j}-\frac{s_{i 3}-t_{i 3}}{s_{33}-t_{33}}\left(s_{3 j}-t_{3 j}-c_{3} z_{j}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial D}=-\frac{s_{i 3}-t_{i 3}}{s_{33}-t_{33}} c_{3}+c_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial D} \hat{h}_{j}=-\frac{s_{i 3}-t_{i 3}}{s_{33}-t_{33}} c_{3} z_{j}+c_{i} z_{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (6) and (8) we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}_{i j} \equiv \frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial p_{j}}+\frac{\partial \hat{h}_{i}}{\partial D} \hat{h}_{j}=s_{i j}-t_{i j}-\left(s_{i 3}-t_{i 3}\right)\left(s_{33}-t_{33}\right)^{-1}\left(s_{3 j}-t_{3 j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trade-Slutsky matrix may therefore be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{S}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{s}_{11} & \hat{s}_{12} \\
\hat{s}_{21} & \hat{s}_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{11}-t_{11} & s_{12}-t_{12} \\
s_{21}-t_{21} & s_{22}-t_{22}
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\left[\begin{array}{l}
s_{13}-t_{13} \\
s_{23}-t_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left(s_{33}-t_{33}\right)^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{31}-t_{31} & s_{32}-t_{32}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

This matrix is clearly symmetric. To show that it is negative semi-definite, let

$$
S-T=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
s_{11}-t_{11} & s_{12}-t_{12} & s_{13}-t_{13} \\
s_{21}-t_{21} & s_{22}-t_{22} & s_{23}-t_{23} \\
s_{31}-t_{31} & s_{32}-t_{32} & s_{33}-t_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

denote the net-Slutsky matrix, which is clearly negative semi-definite, say of rank $\rho$. Then there exists a $\rho \times\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}\right)=\rho \times 3$ matrix $R=\left[R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right]$ such that

$$
R^{\prime} R=-(S-T) .
$$

Written out, this is (assuming $\rho=2$ ),

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\
r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23}
\end{array}\right]
$$

hence

$$
R^{\prime} R=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{11} & r_{21} \\
r_{12} & r_{22} \\
r_{13} & r_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\
r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{lll}
s_{11}-t_{11} & s_{12}-t_{12} & s_{13}-t_{13} \\
s_{21}-t_{21} & s_{22}-t_{22} & s_{23}-t_{23} \\
s_{31}-t_{31} & s_{32}-t_{32} & s_{33}-t_{33}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We have clearly

$$
\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]^{\prime}\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{11} & r_{21} \\
r_{12} & r_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{11} & r_{12} \\
r_{21} & r_{22}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{11}-t_{11} & s_{12}-t_{12} \\
s_{21}-t_{21} & s_{22}-t_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is the first matrix on the right in (10). Likewise,

$$
R_{3}^{\prime} R_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{13} & r_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{13} \\
r_{23}
\end{array}\right]=-\left(s_{33}-t_{33}\right) .
$$

Finally,

$$
R_{3}^{\prime}\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{13} & r_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r_{11} & r_{12} \\
r_{21} & r_{22}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s_{31}-t_{31} & s_{32}-t_{32}
\end{array}\right],
$$

which is the last matrix on the right in (10). Thus, (10) may be written

$$
-\hat{S}=\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]^{\prime}\left[I_{r}-R_{3}\left(R_{3}^{\prime} R_{3}\right)^{-1} R_{3}^{\prime}\right]\left[R_{1}, R_{2}\right]
$$

The matrix $R_{3}\left(R_{3}^{\prime} R_{3}\right)^{-1} R_{3}^{\prime}$ is idempotent of rank $n_{3}=1$, hence the matrix $I_{r}-R_{3}\left(R_{3}^{\prime} R_{3}\right)^{-1} R_{3}^{\prime}$ is idempotent of rank $\rho-1$, and therefore positive semidefinite. Therefore $\hat{S}$ is negative semi-definite.

It follows from the theorem of Hurwicz and Uzawa (1971) that the two trade-demand functions $z_{i}=\hat{h}_{i}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, D, l\right)(i=1,2)$ are generated by maximizing a trade-utility function $\hat{U}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ (where $z_{i}=x_{i}-y_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ ) subject to a balance-of-payments constraint $p_{1} z_{1}+p_{2} z_{2} \leq D$.
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