

1. Consider security markets with infinite time-horizon. There are  $J$  securities with dividends  $x_{jt} \geq 0$  for every  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ . Agents' portfolio holdings are restricted by the transversality constraint:

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\xi_T \subset \xi_t} q(\xi_T) p(\xi_T) h(\xi_T) \geq 0 \quad \forall \xi_t, \quad (1)$$

where  $q$  are strictly positive event prices (assumed to exist).

- (i) Is the existence of strictly positive event prices sufficient for there being no no unlimited arbitrage under the transversality constraint? Prove it (or disprove it).
- (ii) Prove the following result: If security prices  $p$  are such that there exist strictly positive event prices  $q$  and markets are complete, then the set of budget feasible consumption plans under the wealth constraint at  $p$  is the same as the set of budget feasible consumption plans at  $p$  under the transversality constraint.

2. Consider an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium  $(P, \{c^i\})$  for an infinite-horizon economy under uncertainty (see page 13 of Course Handouts). The pricing functional is

$$P(c) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi_t \in F_t} q(\xi_t) c(\xi_t)$$

where  $q(\xi_t) > 0$ . Define security prices by

$$p_j(\xi_t) = \frac{1}{q(\xi_t)} \sum_{\tau > t} \sum_{\xi_\tau \subset \xi_t} q(\xi_\tau) x_j(\xi_\tau)$$

Assume that security markets are complete at  $p$ .

Prove that there exist short sales restrictions  $b^i$  such that  $p, \{c^i\}$  and a suitable chosen portfolio allocation are an equilibrium in security markets under short sales constraints

$$h(\xi_t) \geq -b^i(\xi_t), \quad \forall \xi_t. \quad (2)$$

3. (Continuation of Question 2, Problem Set 1.) First, consider two-date security markets with short sales constraints on all securities as in (2). Say that security price vector  $p \in \mathcal{R}^J$  is *viable* if there does not exist portfolio  $h$  such that  $ph \leq 0$  and  $x_s h \geq 0, \forall s$ , with at least one strict inequality, and  $h_j \geq 0$  for all securities  $j$  with exception of at most one security.

(i) Suppose that agents' utility functions are strictly increasing. Prove that if  $p$  is an equilibrium price vector under short sales constraints and the constraints are such that  $b^i \gg 0$ , for every agent  $i$ , then  $p$  is viable. [The supply of securities is assumed to be 0.]

(ii) Prove that  $p$  is viable if and only if for every  $j$  there exists a vector of strictly positive state prices  $q^j \in \mathcal{R}_{++}^S$  such that

$$p_j = \sum_s q_s^j x_{js} \quad \text{and} \quad p_k \geq \sum_s q_s^j x_{ks}, \quad \forall k \neq j. \quad (3)$$

Consider now security markets with infinite time-horizon and short sales constraints (2).

(iii) Suppose that agents' utility functions are strictly increasing. Prove that if  $p$  is an equilibrium price vector under short sales constraints in infinite-time markets and the constraints are such that  $b^i \gg 0$  for every agent  $i$ , then  $p$  satisfies a dynamic version of (3) for every  $\xi_t$ .

(iv) Define the present value of security  $j$  at date 0 as

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi_t \in F_t} q^j(\xi_t) x_j(\xi_t) \quad (4)$$

and the price bubble as the difference between  $p_{j0}$  and present value (4). Can there be a price bubbles in equilibrium under short-sales constraints?

4. Analyze the possibility of price bubbles in equilibrium under debt constraint.