

Continuity

Definition 1 (Continuous function) Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be two metric spaces. Consider $E \subseteq X$ and $P \in E$. Then $f : E \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at p iff $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_X(x, p) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(p)) < \varepsilon.$$

If f is continuous at $p \forall p \in E$, then f is continuous.

Theorem 2 Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be two metric spaces. A function $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at $p \in E$ iff for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{d_X} p$, it is true that $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{d_Y} f(p)$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow "

Suppose that f is continuous at p , and consider $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$d_Y(f(x), f(p)) < \varepsilon$$

if $d_X(x_n, p) < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ (because of continuity). Since $x_n \xrightarrow{d_X} p$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > N$, $d(x_n, p) < \delta$. Then there exists some N such that $\forall n > N$,

$$d_Y(f(x_n), f(p)) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow f(x_n) \xrightarrow{d_Y} f(p).$$

" \Leftarrow "

Suppose that every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{d_X} p$ has the characteristic that $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{d_Y} f(p)$, and that f is not continuous. Then there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\forall \delta > 0$,

$$d_X(x, p) < \delta \text{ and } d_Y(f(x), f(p)) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for some } x.$$

Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $\delta = 1$. Then there exists x_1 such that $d_X(x_1, p) < 1$ and $d_Y(f(x_1), f(p)) \geq \varepsilon$. Now, for $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, there exists x_2 such that $d_X(x_2, p) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $d_Y(f(x_2), f(p)) \geq \varepsilon$. For $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$, there exists x_n such that $d_X(x_n, p) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $d_Y(f(x_n), f(p)) \geq \varepsilon$. Then $x_n \xrightarrow{d_X} p$ but $f(x_n)$ does not converge to $f(p)$, which is a contradiction. So f is continuous. ■

Example 3 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x, y) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$. Is this function continuous at $(0, 0)$?

Consider $x_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, where $x_n \rightarrow (0, 0)$. Also, note that $f(x_n) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}} = \frac{1}{2}$, so $f(x_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq 0 = f(0, 0)$. Then, f is not continuous.

Remark 4 Theorem 2 stated that f was continuous iff $x_n \rightarrow p \Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow f(p)$. This justifies operations of the type

$$f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) = f(p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n).$$

For example, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}} = 2^0 = 1$.

Theorem 5 Let (X, d_X) , (Y, d_Y) and (Z, d_Z) be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ continuous. Then $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is also continuous.

Proof. There are two ways to prove this theorem.

(a) Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_Z(g \circ f(x), g \circ f(p)) &= d_Z(g(f(x)), g(f(p))) \\ &= d_Z(g(a), g(b)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step equaled $g(f(x)) = g(a)$ and $g(f(p)) = g(b)$. Since g is continuous, there exists some δ_1 such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(p)) < \delta_1 \Rightarrow d_Z(g(f(x)), g(f(p))) < \varepsilon.$$

Since f is continuous, there exists some $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$d_X(x, p) < \delta_2 \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(p)) < \delta_1$$

where δ_1 plays the role of ε . Then, considering $\delta = \delta_2$,

$$d_X(x, p) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Z(g(f(x)), g(f(p))) < \varepsilon.$$

(b) We know that $x_n \rightarrow p$. Then, by continuity of f , $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(p)$. Then, by continuity of g , $g(f(x_n)) \rightarrow g(f(p)) \Rightarrow g \circ f(x_n) \rightarrow g \circ f(p)$. ■

Proposition 6 Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (X, d) . The following propositions are equivalent:

(a) There exists a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$, where x is a cluster point.

(b) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n > N$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. "(b) \Rightarrow (a)"

It is possible to construct a sequence $\{x_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges to x . Consider $\varepsilon = 1, N = 1$. Then there exists some $n > 1$ such that $d(x_n, x) < 1$. Consider $k_1 = n$. Then $d(x_{k_1}, x) < 1$. Now consider $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}, N = k_1$. Then there exists some $n > k_1$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{2}$. Consider $k_2 = n$. Then $d(x_{k_2}, x) < \frac{1}{2}$. This process can be repeated up to where $x_{k_{n-1}}$ has been chosen. Then consider $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ and $N = k_{n-1}$. Then there exists some $n > k_{n-1}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{n}$. Consider $k_n = n$. Then $d(x_{k_n}, x) < \frac{1}{n} \dots$ It is clear that $\{x_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that has been constructed is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $d(x_{k_n}, x) < \frac{1}{n}$. Then $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$.

"(a) \Rightarrow (b)"

Consider any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists some $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > N_1, d(x_{k_n}, x) < \varepsilon$, so for $n > \max\{N, N_1\}, d(x_{k_n}, x) < \varepsilon$. If $n > N$ then $k_n > N$ and $d(x_j, x) < \varepsilon$ and $j > N$. ■

Proposition 7 Let (S, d) be a metric space, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ Cauchy sequences in S . Then $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$.

Proof. Using the triangular inequality (twice):

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_m) + d(y_m, y_n). \quad (1)$$

Then

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_m, y_n). \quad (2)$$

Note that since $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy, the components in the right hand side are small after some N . From (1) we have that

$$d(x_m, y_m) - d(x_n, y_n) \geq -d(x_n, x_m) - d(y_m, y_n). \quad (3)$$

Switching m and n :

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \geq -d(x_n, x_m) - d(y_m, y_n), \quad (4)$$

where in the right hand side of (4) nothing happens because of the symmetry of $d(\cdot)$. From (2) and (4):

$$-d(x_n, x_m) - d(y_m, y_n) \leq d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_m, y_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_m, y_n).$$

Consider $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n, m > N_1$,

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Also, there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n, m > N_2$,

$$d(y_n, y_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then, $\forall n, m > N$:

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_m, y_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, which converges in \mathbb{R} . ■

Theorem 8 (Contraction Mapping Theorem) *Let (S, d) be a complete metric space and $f : S \rightarrow S$ such that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \beta d(x, y) \forall x, y \in S$ and $0 < \beta < 1$. Then there exists x^* such that $f(x^*) = x^*$. Moreover, x^* is unique.*

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_1 \\ x_2 &= f(x_1) \\ x_3 &= f(x_2) = f^2(x_1) \\ &\vdots \\ x_n &= f(x_{n-1}) = f^n(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof requires the following 4 steps.

(a) Consider

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n))$$

But using the fact that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \beta d(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n)) \leq \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \beta d(f(x_{n-2}), f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \beta^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \beta^{n-1} d(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Consider $m > n$. Then, by the triangular inequality,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m).$$

Using (5):

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \beta^{n-1} d(x_1, x_2) + \beta^n d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + \beta^{m-2} d(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \beta^{n-1} d(x_1, x_2) \left[1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \dots + \beta^{(m-2)-(n-1)} \right] \\ &\leq \beta^{n-1} d(x_1, x_2) \left[1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \dots \right], \end{aligned}$$

since the infinite series is greater than or equal than the finite series. Notice that $(1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \dots) = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$, then:

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \beta^{n-1} \left[\frac{d(x_1, x_2)}{1-\beta} \right]$$

but since the term in $[\cdot]$ is fixed, then there exists some N such that the right hand side goes to zero. Since $\beta^n \rightarrow 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\beta^n| < \frac{\varepsilon(1-\beta)}{d(x_1, x_2)}.$$

Then $\forall m, n > N + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \beta^{n-1} \left[\frac{d(x_1, x_2)}{1-\beta} \right] \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon(1-\beta)}{d(x_1, x_2)} \cdot \frac{d(x_1, x_2)}{1-\beta} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(b) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* since (S, d) is a complete metric space.

(c) By the triangular inequality,

$$d(f(x^*), x^*) \leq d(f(x^*), x_n) + d(x_n, x^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d(f(x^*), f(x_{n-1})) + d(x_n, x^*) \\
&\leq \beta d(x^*, x_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x^*) \\
&< d(x^*, x_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x^*)
\end{aligned}$$

since $0 < \beta < 1$. Now consider $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists some \bar{N} such that $\forall n > \bar{N}$,

$$d(x_n, x^*) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

since by (b), $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. Let $N = \bar{N} + 1$, then $\forall n > N$,

$$d(x^*, x_{n-1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

so

$$d(f(x^*), x^*) < \varepsilon.$$

Since this is true $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we can conclude that $d(f(x^*), x^*) = 0$ and $f(x^*) = x^*$.

(d) Consider x^* such that $f(x^*) = x^*$, and \bar{x}^* such that $f(\bar{x}^*) = \bar{x}^*$. However, note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x^*, \bar{x}^*) &= d(f(x^*), f(\bar{x}^*)) \\
&\leq \beta d(x^*, \bar{x}^*), \text{ where } \beta < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $d(x^*, \bar{x}^*) = 0$ and $x^* = \bar{x}^*$. ■