

Some examples

Example 1 (Completion of a σ -algebra) Consider (S, Σ, μ) , a measure space. Define the set \mathcal{C} as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{C \subseteq S \mid C \subseteq A \text{ for some } A \in \Sigma \text{ with } \mu(A) = 0\},$$

where the sets in \mathcal{C} are not necessarily in the σ -algebra. Now define the set Σ' as

$$\Sigma' = \{B' \in S \mid B' = (B \cup C_1) \cap C_2^C \text{ for some } B \in \Sigma, C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Prove that Σ' is a σ -algebra.

Proof. We need to prove that Σ' satisfies the properties of a σ -algebra.

(a) $\emptyset, S \in \Sigma'$ since $\emptyset, S \in \Sigma \implies \emptyset, S \in \Sigma'$ (note that $\emptyset, S \in \Sigma$, and that for any $A \in \Sigma$, $(A \cup \emptyset) \cap \emptyset^C = A \in \Sigma'$).

(b) Suppose that $B' \in \Sigma'$. Then we can express B' as

$$B' = (B \cup C_1) \cap C_2^C$$

where $B \in \Sigma, C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. However, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (B')^C &= (B \cup C_1)^C \cup (C_2^C)^C \\ &= (B^C \cap C_1^C) \cup C_2 \\ &= (B^C \cup C_2) \cap (C_1^C \cup C_2) \\ &= (B^C \cup C_2) \cap (C_1 \cap C_2^C). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, notice that $B^C \in \Sigma$ and $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, so it only remains to prove that $(C_1 \cap C_2^C) \in \mathcal{C}$. To see that this is so, note that since $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ then $C_1 \subseteq A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) = 0$. It follows that $C_1 \cap C_2^C \subseteq A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) = 0$, and then $C_1 \cap C_2^C \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $(B')^C \in \mathcal{C}$.

(c) Note that if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \Sigma'$, then

$$A_n = (B_n \cup C_{1,n}) \cap C_{2,n}^C,$$

where $B_n \in \Sigma$ and $C_{1,n}, C_{2,n} \in \mathcal{C}$. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n &= \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [B_n \cup C_{1,n}] \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_{2,n}^C \\ &= \left[\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_{1,n} \right) \right] \cap \left[\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty C_{2,n} \right]^C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n \in \Sigma$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty C_{2,n} \in \mathcal{C}$. It only remains to prove that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_{1,n} \in \mathcal{C}$. To see that this is so, note that if $C_{1,n} \in \mathcal{C}$ then $C_{1,n} \subseteq A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) = 0$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_{1,n} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \Sigma \text{ with } \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_{1,n} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \Sigma'$.

(In (1) we stated that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n)$. Take any 3 non disjoint sets A_1, A_2, A_3 . Then $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = \mu(A_1 \cup (A_2 \setminus A_1) \cup (A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)))$. Since this is a union of disjoint sets, then $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2 \setminus A_1) + \mu(A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2))$. But note that $\mu(A_2) \geq \mu(A_2 \setminus A_1)$ and $\mu(A_3) \geq \mu(A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2))$. Then it follows that $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) \leq \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \mu(A_3)$. ■

Example 2 Let (S, d) be a metric space, and $E \subseteq S$. Define $\rho_E : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, x \rightarrow \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z)$. (a) Prove that ρ_E is continuous. (b) Prove that $\bar{E} = \{x \mid \rho_E(x) = 0\}$.

Proof. (a) We need to show that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow |\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Consider $\varepsilon > 0$, and suppose $d(x, y) < \delta$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_E(x) &= \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) \\ &\leq \inf_{z \in E} \{d(x, y) + d(y, z)\} \\ &< \delta + \inf_{z \in E} d(y, z) \\ &= \delta + \rho_E(y),\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y) = \delta$. Reverting the roles of x and y we get that $\rho_E(y) - \rho_E(x) = \delta$, and then $|\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y)| < \delta$. Taking $\delta = \varepsilon$, we prove the continuity.

(b) We need 2 steps.

" \subseteq "

Suppose that $x \in \bar{E}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Consider $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$. Then:

$$\rho_E(x) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) < \varepsilon.$$

Since the operation is done $\forall \varepsilon$, we know that $\rho_E(x) = 0$.

" \supseteq "

Suppose that $\rho_E(x) = 0$. Then

$$\inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) = 0$$

and it follows that $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E$ and $d(x, z_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, therefore $d(x, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $z_n \rightarrow x$, then $x \in \bar{E}$. ■

Example 3 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $A, B \subseteq X$, A closed, B compact. Then the distance between A, B is

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$$

Then $A \cap B \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \rho(A, B) = 0$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow "

Suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists one point that is in both, $z \in A \cap B$. Note that since $z \in A$ and $z \in B$,

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y) \leq d(z, z) = 0$$

Then $\rho(A, B) = 0$.

" \Leftarrow "

Suppose $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and define $\rho_A : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \rightarrow \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$. Since ρ_A is continuous, and it is defined over a compact set, then it attains its minimum at some point x^* . We need to show that $\rho_A(x^*) > 0$.

Recall that if $x^* \in B \Rightarrow x^* \notin A$, $x^* \notin \bar{A}$, $\rho_A(x^*) > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(A, B) &= \inf_{x \in B} \left[\inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \right] \\ &= \inf_{x \in B} \rho_A(x) \\ &= \min_{x \in B} \rho_A(x) = \rho_A(x^*) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

And since $\rho(A, B) > 0$, it is a contradiction since $\rho(A, B) = 0$. Then $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. ■

Remark 4 Closedness is important. For example, consider $A = (0, 1)$ and $B = (2, 1)$. Then $\rho(A, B) = 0$ but $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Also, compactness of one of the sets is important. Consider $A = \{(x, y) \mid y \geq \frac{1}{x}, x > 0\}$ and $B = \{(x, y) \mid y \geq -\frac{1}{x}, x > 0\}$. Then $\rho(A, B) = 0$ but $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Example 5 Consider (X, d) a metric space, $A, B \subseteq X$, A compact, B closed. Then $A + B = \{z | z = a + b \text{ for some } a \in A, b \in B\}$ is also closed.

Proof. Consider $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A + B$, $z_n \rightarrow z$. If $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A + B$ then $z_n = a_n + b_n$ for some $a_n \in A$, $b_n \in B$. Note that $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$, where A is compact. Then there exists a subsequence $\{a_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ with $a_{k_n} \rightarrow a \in A$. Then, since $z_{k_n} = a_{k_n} + b_{k_n}$, $b_{k_n} = z_{k_n} - a_{k_n}$. It follows that $b_{k_n} \rightarrow z - a$. But $\{b_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B$, where B is closed, then $z - a \in B$.

Then $z = a + (z - a)$ where $a \in A$ and $(z - a) \in B$. Then $z \in A + B$. ■

Remark 6 The compactness of one of the sets is needed. For example, consider $A = \{(x, y) | y \geq \frac{1}{x}, x > 0\}$ and $B = \{(x, y) | y \geq -\frac{1}{x}, x > 0\}$. Then $A + B = \{(x, y) | y > 0\}$ is open.

Example 7 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in X} [f(x) + g(x)] \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x) + \sup_{x \in X} g(x).$$

Proof. Consider $L = \sup_{x \in X} [f(x) + g(x)]$. Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists x_ε^* such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon^*) + g(x_\varepsilon^*) > L - \varepsilon.$$

But $f(x_\varepsilon^*) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x)$ and $g(x_\varepsilon^*) \leq \sup_{x \in X} g(x)$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in X} f(x) + \sup_{x \in X} g(x) > L - \varepsilon.$$

Since we can do this $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\sup_{x \in X} f(x) + \sup_{x \in X} g(x) \geq L = \sup_{x \in X} [f(x) + g(x)].$$

■

Example 8 Let (S, Σ) be a measurable space. Consider a sequence of functions $f_n : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, measurable, and $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(S)$. Then f is measurable.

Proof. We need to show that $A = \{s | f(s) \leq a\} \in \Sigma$.

Consider

$$A_{nk} = \left\{ s | f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\} \in \Sigma \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$B_{Nk} = \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} A_{nk} \in \Sigma$$

$$B_k = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} B_{Nk} \in \Sigma$$

$$B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \in \Sigma.$$

We now claim that $A = B$. Note that

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ s | f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

" \subseteq "

Take $s \in A$. Then $f(s) \leq a$, and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N$, $f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k}$. Then $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,

$$s \in A_{nk} = \left\{ s \in S | f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Now, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s \in \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ s \in S | f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

And $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s \in \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ s \in S \mid f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\},$$
$$s \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ s \in S \mid f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

" \supseteq "

Suppose that $s \in B$. Then

$$s \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ s \in S \mid f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Then $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,

$$f_n(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Then $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(s) \leq a + \frac{1}{k}$. Then $f(s) \leq a$, and it follows that $s \in A$. ■