

Fall Semester 2007, Session I

1. Consider Walrasian demand function $x^*(p, w)$ for $p \gg 0$ and $w \geq 0$ generated by a continuous and l.n.s. utility function $u: \mathfrak{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ which is homogeneous of degree one (so that the induced preference is homothetic). Show that $x^*(p, w)$ is monotone in p , that is

$$[x^*(p', w) - x^*(p, w)](p' - p) \leq 0$$

for every p', p, w . You may assume that x^* is differentiable.

2. Consider the utility function $u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + v(x_2, x_3)$ for some function $v: \mathfrak{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ with D^2v negative definite. Let $x_i^*(p_1, p_2, p_3, M)$ be the utility maximizing demand function, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Show that the income effect on consumption of commodities 2 and 3 is zero whenever the demand for all three commodities is interior. That is, show that $\frac{\partial x_i^*}{\partial M} = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$. Derive $\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial M}$.

3. Consider the demand function of two goods $d(p_1, p_2, w) = \left(\frac{2w - p_2}{p_1}, \frac{p_2 - w}{p_2} \right)$ for $p_1 > 0$, $p_2 > 0$ and $2w > p_2 > w$.

(a) Is any of the goods a Giffen good or an inferior good?

(b) Find the Slutsky matrix of d and verify whether it is negative semi-definite and symmetric. Is this demand function a Walrasian demand function (i.e., is it rationalizable by a utility function)?

4. Consider a reflexive, transitive, and complete preference relation on \mathfrak{R}_+^n . For every consumption bundle $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$, let $u(x) \in \mathfrak{R}_+$ be a number (if it exists) such that x is indifferent to $u(x)\mathbf{e}$, where \mathbf{e} denotes the unit vector in \mathfrak{R}_+^n .

(i) Give an example of a preference relation that is continuous but not strictly increasing, and for which so constructed u does not constitute a utility representation. Justify your answer.

(ii) Give an example of a preference relation that is strictly increasing but not continuous for which u does not constitute a utility representation. Justify your answer.

5. Consider preference relation on \mathfrak{R}_+^n , where $n > 1$, defined by

$$x \succeq x' \text{ if and only if } x \geq x',$$

for every $x, x' \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$.

- (i) Show that this preference relation does not have a utility representation.
- (ii) Show that this preference relation is locally non-satiated.
- (iii) Describe the demand at a price vector $p \in \mathfrak{R}_{++}^n$ and income $w > 0$, i.e., the set of preference maximizing consumption bundles in the budget set at (p, w) .