

Equilibrium of the General Equilibrium Growth Model

Consider a model with an infinitely-lived, representative consumer. The production function is $Y_t = A_t K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}$. The consumer solves the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{t=t_0}^{\infty} \beta^{t-t_0} [\gamma \log C_t + (1-\gamma) \log(N_t \bar{h} - L_t)] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & C_t + K_{t+1} - K_t = w_t L_t + (r_t - \delta) K_t, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, \dots \\ & K_{t_0} = \bar{K}_{t_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The sequences of total factor productivities $A_{t_0}, A_{t_0+1}, A_{t_0+2}, \dots$ and of working age populations $N_{t_0}, N_{t_0+1}, N_{t_0+2}, \dots$ are exogenous.

An **equilibrium** is sequences of consumption $\hat{C}_{t_0}, \hat{C}_{t_0+1}, \hat{C}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, labor $\hat{L}_{t_0}, \hat{L}_{t_0+1}, \hat{L}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, capital $\hat{K}_{t_0}, \hat{K}_{t_0+1}, \hat{K}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, wages $\hat{w}_{t_0}, \hat{w}_{t_0+1}, \hat{w}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, and rental rates $\hat{r}_{t_0}, \hat{r}_{t_0+1}, \hat{r}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ such that

1. **Consumer maximization:** Given $\hat{w}_{t_0}, \hat{w}_{t_0+1}, \hat{w}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ and $\hat{r}_{t_0}, \hat{r}_{t_0+1}, \hat{r}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, the consumer chooses $\hat{C}_{t_0}, \hat{C}_{t_0+1}, \hat{C}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, $\hat{L}_{t_0}, \hat{L}_{t_0+1}, \hat{L}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, and $\hat{K}_{t_0}, \hat{K}_{t_0+1}, \hat{K}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{t=t_0}^{\infty} \beta^{t-t_0} [\gamma \log C_t + (1-\gamma) \log(N_t \bar{h} - L_t)] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & C_t + K_{t+1} - K_t = \hat{w}_t L_t + (\hat{r}_t - \delta) K_t, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, \dots \\ & K_{t_0} = \bar{K}_{t_0}. \end{aligned}$$

2. **Firm maximization:** Given $\hat{w}_{t_0}, \hat{w}_{t_0+1}, \hat{w}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ and $\hat{r}_{t_0}, \hat{r}_{t_0+1}, \hat{r}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, the firm chooses $\hat{L}_{t_0}, \hat{L}_{t_0+1}, \hat{L}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, and $\hat{K}_{t_0}, \hat{K}_{t_0+1}, \hat{K}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ to minimize costs and to earn zero profit. This results in the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_t &= \alpha A_t \hat{K}_t^{\alpha-1} \hat{L}_t^{1-\alpha} \\ \hat{w}_t &= (1-\alpha) A_t \hat{K}_t^\alpha \hat{L}_t^{-\alpha}, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

3. **Feasibility:** $\hat{C}_{t_0}, \hat{C}_{t_0+1}, \hat{C}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, $\hat{L}_{t_0}, \hat{L}_{t_0+1}, \hat{L}_{t_0+2}, \dots$, and $\hat{K}_{t_0}, \hat{K}_{t_0+1}, \hat{K}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ are feasible:

$$C_t + K_{t+1} - (1-\delta)K_t = A_t K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, \dots$$

Notice that it is possible to define separate $\hat{L}_{t_0}, \hat{L}_{t_0+1}, \hat{L}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ and $\hat{K}_{t_0}, \hat{K}_{t_0+1}, \hat{K}_{t_0+2}, \dots$ for the consumer and for the firm and then require that they be equal as part of the feasibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}_t^c &= \hat{L}_t^f \\ \hat{K}_t^c &= \hat{K}_t^f, \quad t = t_0, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, \dots\end{aligned}$$